Course Report BIMA52-2016

Students: 21
Exam passed 15/21 (71 %) in the first exam, 4/4 in the second exam. 2 students did not show up in the 2nd exam. A third exam will take place in 2017.

General impression: The course went smoothly, the atmosphere was good, and neither the students nor the teachers had any major complaints. As in previous courses, weeks 2 up to 4 turned out to be the heaviest ones. This year, week 7 was also considered a heavy week.

During the evaluation meeting on Nov. 10, 2016, all aspects of the course were discussed, and the following points were raised to improve the course in fall 2017:

**PBL:** Case of week 6 requires one or two extra sentences to make sure that the description of the heart attack is completely separated from that of the limb development.
Case of week 7 needs to be completely rewritten, including a focus on the kidney, thereby stimulating discussions around organs, and not on diabetes only.

**Study goals:** Deemed OK, no complaints

**Glossary:** Apparently was used very little.

**Lectures:** Were considered good and were held professionally.

**Handouts:** Were appreciated by the students. Teachers will make sure that the PDFs of the lecture are available when the lecture is held, i.e. students can also directly make notes on the PDF.

**Demonstrations:** No complaints.

**Articles:** Instructions for chair people/opponents will be updated/expanded regarding the role of the opponent.

**"Sum-up" hour:** No complaints, was deemed valuable. Teacher: review PBL case once more and put it in relation to the week. Teachers will discuss the use of the “Mentimeter”.

**Exam:** Overall appropriate, no complaints.
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